

9/Recessed
2/13/04
RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 13 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: RIEMENS Serial No.: 09/920,042 Filed: 08/01/2001 Title: PROGRAMMED STALL CYCLES SLOW-DOWN VIDEO PROCESSOR	Group Art Unit: 2676 Examiner: Quillen, Allen Docket: PHIL-P008 (NL010506) CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION I hereby certify that this correspondence is being faxed to the Commissioner for Patents at 703-872-9306 on February 13, 2004. 3 PAGES TOTAL Signed: <i>Sandra Halliwell</i> Sandra Halliwell
--	--

OFFICIALAMENDMENT AFTER FINAL

Commissioner for Patents

Dear Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action mailed November 24, 2003, Applicant requests consideration of this Amendment After Final.

ARGUMENT

In the Office Action dated November 24, 2003, claims 1-3 and 6 were rejected under 35 USC §102 in view of Taraci. Claims 4, 5 and 8 were rejected under §103 in view of Taraci and Crump. Claim 7 was rejected under §103 in view of Taraci and Kondoh.

Prior Art Rejections

A rejection under 35 USC §102 requires that the cited reference teach all the claimed elements. A rejection under 35 USC §103 requires that the combined references suggest the claimed combination. (MPEP 706 and 2141 et seq.).

Under the Graham test, three factors must be evaluated: the scope and content of the prior art; the differences between the prior art and the claimed invention; and the level of ordinary skill in the art. (MPEP 706 and 2141 et seq.).

Amendment After Final
PHIL-P008

1

Ser. No. 09/920,042
NL010506